Oral Cancer Prevention Advances with a Translational Trial of Green Tea

Perspective on Tsao et al., p. 931

Dong M. Shin

Abstract This perspective on Tsao et al. (beginning on p. 931 in this issue of the journal) discusses green tea extract, which was shown for the first time to have dose-dependent effects in a clinical chemopreventive setting (oral premalignant lesions). This translational trial provides important data on angiogenesis and other biomarkers on which to base future clinical research, which should include trials of green tea extract or polyphenols combined with other natural or synthetic compounds to enhance chemopreventive effects.

Tea and other natural dietary agents have drawn substantial attention from both researchers and the general public because of their ready availability, low toxicity, and potential ability to suppress carcinogenesis and reduce the risk of cancer. Tea is one of the most widely consumed beverages in the world. It contains polyphenols with antioxidant properties and is associated with cancer-preventive effects in many epidemiologic and preclinical studies (1). Different processing techniques yield different types of tea. Although both green and black tea have been studied for their chemopreventive potential, green tea has shown greater promise and efficacy against multiple types of cancer. Of the several polyphenols found in different tea preparations, epigallocatechin 3-gallate (EGCG) is the most abundant (50-80% of the antioxidant polyphenol content of tea) and best studied and possibly has the most potent antioxidant activity (2-4). Other tea polyphenols include (-)-epigallocatechin, (–)-epicatechin gallate, and (–)-epicatechin (3, 4).

EGCG and other polyphenols clearly have both direct and indirect molecular effects on tumor-signaling pathways. EGCG directly binds to a number of proteins including laminin, vimentin, Fas, and insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor and has indirect effects on epidermal growth factor receptors (EGFR), signal transducers and activators of transcription, activator protein-1, and nuclear factor- κ B (NF- κ B; refs. 5–14).

Green tea polyphenols can also induce cell cycle arrest or apoptosis by activating p53 and its multiple target genes (Fig. 1). EGCG induced the expression of p53 and its targets p21 and BAX in prostate cancer cells with wild-type but not inactive p53 (12). EGCG also activated p53 and BAX in breast cancer cells (15). Amin et al. reported that EGCG induces apoptosis by activating p73-dependent expression of a subset

Author's Affiliation: Department of Hematology and Medical Oncology, Winship Cancer Institute, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia

©2009 American Association for Cancer Research.

of p53 target genes including *p21*, *cyclin G*₁, *MDM 2*, *WIG1*, and *PIG11* (13).

EGCG also acts as a potent inhibitor of NF- κ B pathways (14). It may block one or more steps in the NF- κ B signaling pathway, such as the effects of the most upstream growth factor receptors that activate the NF- κ B signaling cascade, translocation of NF- κ B to the nucleus, DNA binding of the dimers, or interaction with the transcriptional machinery. NF- κ B target genes that are negatively regulated by EGCG include Bcl-2, Bcl-x(L), cyclin D1, matrix metalloproteinase (MMP), and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). VEGF is a critical contributor to angiogenesis, which is a very promising target for chemoprevention (16).

Green tea polyphenols inhibit the angiogenesis of breast cancer cells by inhibiting the expression of VEGF and MMP-9 through suppressing signal transducers and activators of transcription-3 activation (17, 18). EGCG also inhibited cell viability, capillary tube formation, and migration of human umbilical vein endothelial cells and inhibited angiogenic and metastasis markers (VEGF, CD31, MMP-2, MMP-7, MMP-9, and MMP-12) in a xenograft model of pancreatic cancer (19).

It is well established that EGCG treatment inhibits the phosphorylation of EGFR and its downstream targets AKT and ERK and potentiates the effects of the EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor erlotinib in head and neck cancer (20). EGCG induced the internalization and ubiquitin-mediated degradation of EGFR, ultimately undermining EGFR signaling. It has also been reported that EGCG inhibited the activation of EGFR and the insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor in human colon cancer cells (21).

As reported in this issue of the journal (22), Tsao et al. have conducted an important phase II randomized, placebocontrolled trial of green tea extract (GTE) in patients with high-risk oral premalignant lesions. This work joins the seminal contributions that decades of retinoid research have made to the chemoprevention of oral, head and neck, and other cancers. Indeed, chemoprevention entered mainstream cancer research in the 1970s through the pioneering study of retinoids by Sporn et al. (23). Hong et al. conducted the first randomized clinical retinoid trial, which showed that high-dose 13-*cis*retinoic acid (13-cRA) significantly reduced the size of oral premalignant lesions and reversed dysplasia (24). The

Received 9/3/09; revised 9/22/09; accepted 9/25/09; published online 11/5/09. **Requests for reprints:** Dong M. Shin, Department of Hematology and Medical Oncology, Winship Cancer Institute, Emory University 1365C Clifton Road, Room C3094, Atlanta, GA 30322. Phone: 404-778-5990; Fax: 404-778-5520; E-mail: dmshin@emory.edu.

doi:10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-09-0207





follow-up phase III trial of high-dose 13-cRA induction followed by either low-dose 13-cRA or β-carotene maintenance suggested that low-dose 13-cRA was superior to β -carotene in maintaining the remission of premalignant lesions (25). Another phase III trial of high-dose 13-cRA showed a significant reduction in the incidence of second primary tumors after the 1-year treatment period and lasting for a total of 3 years (26). A number of single-agent, low-dose 13-cRA trials followed, with negative results (27–29). The combination of high-dose 13-cRA, α -IFN, and α -tocopherol seemed to be very effective in delaying head and neck-associated second primary tumors and recurrence (30), and a subsequent phase III trial of this combination was initiated but stopped because of slow patient accrual. High-dose 13-cRA was active against oral premalignant lesions but too toxic for long-term administration. Programmatic effort to extend the early high-dose promise and reduce toxicity with a lower dose retinoid or retinoid combinations ultimately did not reduce the incidences of oral and other head and neck cancers (29).

Following in these footsteps, Tsao et al. randomly assigned patients with high-risk oral premalignant lesions to receive placebo or GTE at 500, 750, or 1,000 mg/m² thrice a day for

12 weeks, evaluating biomarkers in baseline and 12-week biopsies (22). The clinical response was greater in the combined higher dose (750 and 1,000 mg/m²) GTE arms (58.5%) than in the 500 mg/m² (36.4%) or placebo (18.2%; P = 0.03) arms, suggesting a dose-response effect of GTE in oral premalignant lesions. GTE treatment was well tolerated, although higher doses increased insomnia and nervousness (albeit without grade 4 toxicities). This study also provided some very important biomarker data, including data on VEGF that are consistent with previously reported effects of GTE (18, 31). Baseline stromal VEGF levels correlated with clinical (P = 0.04) but not histologic response. Baseline levels of other biomarkers (epithelial VEGF, p53, Ki-67, cyclin D1, and p16 promoter methylation), however, were not associated with outcome. Furthermore, stromal VEGF and cyclin D1 expression were downregulated in the lesions of clinically responsive patients and upregulated in nonresponsive patients at 12 weeks (versus baseline levels), consistent with the results of preclinical green tea studies (discussed earlier). A similar cyclin D1 effect was seen in a clinical trial of a high-dose 13-cRA-based combination in patients with advanced oral premalignant lesions (32). Tsao et al. conclude that GTE may suppress oral

920

premalignant lesions, in part through blocking angiogenic stimuli. This randomized phase II study not only contributes very important findings to the field but also provides significant support and guidance for the incorporation of biomarkers in clinical trials; it provides an important basis for future phase III studies.

It is important to emphasize that conducting biomarker evaluations within a clinical trial is very difficult and challenging —requiring, for example, tremendous effort in performing multiple biopsies and biomarker analyses in the Tsao et al. study. Undertaking clinical chemoprevention trials that incorporate biomarkers, however, is the only way to ascertain whether or not a chemopreventive agent is working at the molecular level and to understand mechanisms of response and resistance (33). Such biomarker studies will be critical in clinical trials of natural compounds because these agents are relatively nontoxic and thus may not be well-suited to the traditional phase I therapy end points of maximum tolerated dose and dose-limiting toxicity. Determining biological activity by molecular marker modulation will be an excellent guide to the best methods and chemoprevention settings for these agents. Therefore, Tsao et al. are to be congratulated for their enormous effort in incorporating biomarkers into their clinical trial of GTE in patients with oral premalignant lesions, and for their contribution to the development of chemoprevention strategies using natural compounds with the potential to reduce cancer risk. Combined-agent approaches are of emerging importance to cancer chemoprevention (34), and it will be particularly important to examine tea product-based combinations (e.g., EGCG) with the potential to maximize chemopreventive efficacy (versus single agents), in part, by suppressing the emergence of resistant clones, a typical obstacle to long-term chemoprevention. Indeed, our recent preclinical study of EGCG combined with an EGFR inhibitor showed promising effects, including NF-KB suppression, in head and neck carcinogenesis (35).

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

References

- Bode AM, Dong Z. Epigallocatechin 3-gallate and green tea catechins: united they work, divided they fail. Cancer Prev Res 2009;2:514–7.
- Nakachi K, Suemasu K, Suga K, et al. Influence of drinking green tea on breast cancer malignancy among Japanese patients. Jpn J Cancer Res 1998;89:254–61.
- 3. Bushman JL. Green tea and cancer in humans: a review of the literature. Nutr Cancer 1998;31:151–9.
- Chow HH, Cai Y, Hakim IA, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of green tea polyphenols after multiple-dose administration of epigallocatechin gallate and polyphenon E in healthy individuals. Clin Cancer Res 2003;9:3312–9.
- Sazuka M, Isenmura M, Isemura S. Interaction between the carboxyl-terminal heparin-binding domain of fibronectin and (–)-epigallocatechin gallate. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 1998;62: 1031–2.
- Hayakawa S, Saeki K, Sazuka M, et al. Apoptosis induction by epigallocatechin gallate involves its binding to Fas. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2001;285:1102–6.
- Suxuki Y, Isemura M. Inhibitory effect of epigallocatechin gallate on adhesion of murine melanoma cells to laminin. Cancer Lett 2001;173:15–20.
- Ermakova S, Chol BY, Chol HS, Kang BS, Bode AM, Dong Z. The intermediate filament protein vimentin is a new target for epigallocatechin gallate. J Biol Chem 2005;280:16882–90.
- Shim JH, Chol HS, Pugliese A, et al. (-)-Epigallocatechin gallate regulates CD3-mediated T cell receptor signaling in leukemia through the inhibition of ZAP-70 kinase. J Biol Chem 2008;283:28370–9.
- Li M, He Z, Ermakova S, et al. Direct inhibition of insulin-like growth factor-I receptor kinase activity by (-)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate regulates cell transformation. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2007;16:598–605.
- Ermakova SP, Kang BS, Choi BY, et al. (-)-Epigallocatechin gallate overcomes resistance to etoposide-induced cell death by targeting the molecular chaperone glucose-regulated protein 78. Cancer Res 2006;66:9260–9.
- 12. Hastak K, Agarwal MK, Mukhtar H, et al. Ablation of either p21 or Bax prevent p53-dependent apoptosis induced by green tea polyphenol epigallocatechin-3-gallate. FASEB J 2005;19:789–91.
- 13. Amin AR, Thankur VS, Paul RK, et al. SHP-2 ty-

rosine phosphatase inhibits p73-dependent apoptosis and expression of a subset of p53 target genes induced by EGCG. Pro Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007;104:5419–24.

- 14. Hastak K, Gupta S, Ahmad N, et al. Role of p53 and NF-κB in epigallocatechin-3-gallate-induced apoptosis of LNCaP cells. Oncogene 2003;22: 4851–9.
- 15. Roy AM, Baliga MS, Katiyar SK. Epigallocatechin-3-gallate induces apoptosis in estrogen receptor-negative human breast carcinoma cells via modulation in protein expression of p53 and Bax and caspase-3 activation. Mol Cancer Ther 2005; 4:81–90.
- **16.** Grandis JR, Argiris A. Targeting angiogenesis from premalignancy to metastases. Cancer Prev Res 2009;2:291–4.
- Sartippour MR, Heber D, Ma J, et al. Green tea and its catechins inhibit breast cancer xenografts. Nutr Cancer 2001;40:149–56.
- Leong H, Mathur PS, Greene GL. Green tea catechins inhibit angiogenesis through suppression of STAT3 activation. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2009; 117:505–15.
- **19.** Shankar S, Ganapathy S, Hingorani SR, et al. EGCG inhibits growth, invasion, angiogenesis and metastasis of pancreatic cancer. Front Biosci 2008; 13:440–52.
- 20. Masuda M, Suzui M, Weinstein IB. Effects of epigallocatechin-3-gallate on growth, epidermal growth factor receptor signaling pathways, gene expression, and chemosensitivity in human head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cell lines. Clin Cancer Res 2001;7:4220–9.
- Adachi S, Nagao T, Ingolfsson HI, et al. The inhibitory effect of (-)-epigallocatechin gallate on activation of the epidermal growth factor receptor is associated with altered lipid order in HT29 colon cancer cells. Cancer Res 2007;67:6493–501.
- **22.** Tsao AS, Liu D, Martin J, et al. Phase II randomized, placebo-controlled trial of green tea extract in patients with high-risk oral premalignant lesions. Cancer Prev Res 2009;2:931–41.
- Sporn MB, Dunlop NM, Newton DL. Prevention of chemical carcinogenesis by vitamin A and its synthetic analogs (retinoids). Fed Proc 1976;35:1332–8.
- Hong WK, Endicott J, Itri LM, et al. 13-cis retinoic acid in the treatment of oral leukoplakia. N Engl J Med 1986;315:1501–5.

- 25. Lippman SM, Batsakis JG, Toth BB, et al. Comparison of low-dose isotretinoin with β carotene to prevent oral carcinogenesis. N Engl J Med 1993; 328:15–20.
- 26. Hong WK, Lippman SM, Itri LM, et al. Prevention of second primary tumors with isotretinoin in squamous-cell carcinoma of the head and neck. N Engl J Med 1990;322:795–801.
- Papadimitrakopoulou VA, Lee JJ, William WN, Jr., et al. Randomized trial of 13-cis retinoic acid compared with retinyl palmitate with or without β-carotene in oral premalignancy. J Clin Oncol 2009;27:599–604.
- 28. Lippman SM, Lee JJ, Karp DD, et al. Randomized phase III intergroup trial of isotretinoin to prevent second primary tumors in stage I non-small-cell lung cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 2001;93:605–8.
- **29.** Khuri FR, Lee JJ, Lippman SM, et al. Randomized phase III trial of low dose isotretinoin for prevention of second primary tumors in stage I and II head and neck cancer patients. J Natl Cancer Inst 2006;98: 441–50.
- 30. Shin DM, Khuri FR, Murphy B, et al. Combined interferon-a, 13-cis-retinoic acid and alfatocoferal in locally advanced head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: novel bioadjuvant phase II trial. J Clin Oncol 2001;19:3010–7.
- 31. Masuda M, Suzui M, Lim JT, et al. Epigallocatechin-3-gallate decreases VEGF production in head and neck and breast carcinoma cells by inhibiting EGFR-related pathways of signal transduction. J Exp Ther Oncol 2002;2:350–9.
- 32. Izzo JG, Papadimitrakopoulou VA, Liu DD, et al. Cyclin D1 genotype, response to biochemoprevention, and progression rate to upper aerodigestive tract cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 2003;95:198–205.
- Mao L, El-Naggar AK, Papadimitrakopoulou V, et al. Phenotype and genotype of advanced premalignant head and neck lesions after chemopreventive therapy. J Natl Cancer Inst 1998;90:1545–51.
- **34.** Sporn MB, Hong WK. Clinical prevention of recurrence of colorectal adenomas by the combination of difluoromethylornithine and sulindac: an important milestone. Cancer Prev Res 2008;1:9–11.
- 35. Amin RA, Khuri FR, Chen ZG, Shin DM. Synergistic growth inhibition of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck by erlotinib and EGCG: the role of P53 dependent inhibition of nuclear factor-κB. Cancer Prev Res 2009;6:538–45.

www.aacrjournals.org

Cancer Prev Res 2009;2(11) November 2009



Cancer Prevention Research

Oral Cancer Prevention Advances with a Translational Trial of Green Tea

Dong M. Shin

Cancer Prev Res 2009;2:919-921.

Updated version	Access the most recent version of this article at: http://cancerpreventionresearch.aacrjournals.org/content/2/11/919
Supplementary	Access the most recent supplemental material at:
Material	http://cancerpreventionresearch.aacrjournals.org/content/suppl/2009/11/17/2.11.919.DC1

Cited articles	This article cites 35 articles, 16 of which you can access for free at: http://cancerpreventionresearch.aacrjournals.org/content/2/11/919.full#ref-list-1
Citing articles	This article has been cited by 1 HighWire-hosted articles. Access the articles at: http://cancerpreventionresearch.aacrjournals.org/content/2/11/919.full#related-urls

E-mail alerts	Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.
Reprints and Subscriptions	To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.
Permissions	To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, use this link http://cancerpreventionresearch.aacrjournals.org/content/2/11/919. Click on "Request Permissions" which will take you to the Copyright Clearance Center's (CCC) Rightslink site.